Self-Directed Learning's Evolving Lexicon

Roger Hiemstra, Professor Emeritus, Syracuse University

May 10, 2020

The literature and its corresponding lexicon are the separating apparatus between disciplines. Such information helps scholars, practitioners, and interested readers to communicate about the knowledge area and its constant development. Hiemstra has long studied self-directed learning's changing lexicon. This research brief describes a more recent examination of SDL literature to determine how the use of various words, terms, concepts, and associated derivatives may have changed in the past two decades.

My interest in the language used to report self-directed learning (SDL) research and describe how that research is discussed began in 1995. Having contributed to that research for several years and noting how various scholars used different words, terms, and acronyms to describe similar concepts and meanings, I began an examination of this phenomenon. I wondered if there was a common language developing from this growing interest in SDL. I carried out this research in time to deliver a paper at the 1996 International Symposium on Self-Directed Learning in West Palm Beach, Florida (Hiemstra, 1997). I examined all the chapters in the eight books emanating from prior symposia (1986-1994) utilizing a modified content analysis to measure (count) the frequency and variety of words used in talking about SDL.

In a follow-up study I analyzed a portion of the eight books emanating from the next SDL symposia (1996-2003). Only 46 of the 151 book chapters were randomly selected because of time and resource limitations. This research was reported in the second issue of the first year of a new journal, the *International Journal of Self-Directed Learning* (Hiemstra, 2004).

I recently completed a third examination of the lexicon. I was motivated to do this during my participation in the 2020 34th International Self-Directed Learning Symposium. There I gave the opening keynote presentation (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AhoWds61Zo0&t=2706s – a video version

(https://roghiemstra.com/Balancing%20the%20Inner%20and%20Outer%20Self%20for%20Web.pdf – a printed version, https://roghiemstra.com/Presentation%20slide%20show.pdf – the accompanying slides, and

https://roghiemstra.com/Balancing% 20the% 20Inner% 20and% 20Outer% 20Self% 20Article% 20for% 20pdf.pdf — the presentation in a journal article format). During the final symposium session Ralph Brockett and I discussed future research needs for the field during which I mentioned my interest in the field's lexicon (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CeTdq28kuSY&t=6s). In this most recent research I selected 76 of the 131 articles published in the SDL journal from 2004 through 2018 to record the number of times various words, terms, and concepts were used. The only exception to this reading effort was the fact that I excluded my article about the field's lexicon in the 2004, No. 2 issue (Hiemstra, 2004). Following is more detailed information on these chosen issues.

Volume 1 - Number 1 - 2004 contained 6 articles

Volume $1 - \text{Number } 2 - 2004$	contained 7 articles
Volume $2 - \text{Number } 1 - 2005$	contained 6 articles
Volume $2 - \text{Number } 2 - 2005$	contained 8 articles
Volume $5 - \text{Number } 1 - 2008$	contained 5 articles
Volume 5 − Number 2 − 2008	contained 5 articles
Volume 6 − Number 1 − 2009	contained 5 articles
Volume 6 – Number 2 – 2009	contained 5 articles
Volume $9 - \text{Number } 1 - 2012$	contained 4 articles
Volume $9 - \text{Number } 2 - 2012$	contained 4 articles
Volume 10 – Number 1 – 2013	contained 3 articles
Volume 10 – Number 2 – 2013	contained 3 articles
Volume 13 – Number 1 – 2016	contained 3 articles
Volume 13 – Number 2 – 2016	contained 3 articles
Volume 14 – Number 1 – 2017	contained 5 articles
Volume 14 – Number 2 – 2017	contained 4 articles

Following are four portrayals of what I found. The first (Table 1) displays the most frequently used terms for the three examination eras.

Table 1. Most Frequently Used SDL Words and Terms (portrayed alphabetically)

Term, Concept, or Derivative	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
	1986-1994	1995-2003	2004-2018
	137 Chapters	46 Chapters	76 articles
Autodidactic	209	12	0
Autonomous learning	92	45	195
Learning environment	0	146	119
Learning projects	231	47	0
OCLI (Oddi, 1984)	102	2	2
Online	*	*	358
SDL	*	*	380
SDLPS (Pilling-Cormick, 1996)	0	273	2
SDLRS (Guglielmino, 1977)	1299	346	105
Self-directed	*	*	852
Self-directed learner	436	67	48
Self-directed learning	2833	1159	682
Self-directed learning readiness	188	151	20
Self-direction in learning	182	163	129
Self-education	105	0	21
Self-efficacy	107	151	138
Self-planned	118	44	18
Self-regulation	38	64	200
Self-taught	109	0	0

^{*} Terms not included in the first two research periods.

The second portrayal is shown in Figure 1 which reveals the ranking of all 82 terms I discovered. It should be noted that I eliminated any term that was revealed to have been mentioned nine or fewer times in all 76 articles.

Figure 1: Self-Directed Learning Terms Ranked (each followed by the number of times noted)

Ranked Terms

[Note: All self-directed learning instruments are shown in Figure 3 and are not in Figure 1]

Self-directed – 852

Self-directed learning – 682

SDL - 380

Online -358

Autonomy – 195

Individual – 143

Self-efficacy – 138

Self-direction – 129

Environment – 119

Autonomous learning – 117

Learner autonomy – 113

Coach - 101

Motivation -97

Professional development – 80

Performance – 73

Cognitive – 56

Learning environment - 51

Competency – 50

Self-directed learner(s) – 48

Nontraditional – 47

Independent – 45

CBE – 41 (Competency-based Education)

Self-help-40

Mobile devices – 39

Reflection – 35

Adult learning – 34

Homeschool - 34

Initiative -34

Responsibility – 34

Desire -33

Learning goals – 33

Mentor/mentoring -33

SDLL – 33 (Self-Directed Language Learning)

Tactical - 33

Self-regulation – 30

Self-regulated – 29

Self-determination -28

Tactic – 28

Collaboration – 26

Engagement – 26

Persistence – 25

Digital - 24

Internet-22

Jolt - 22

Feedback - 21

Informal learning – 21

Lifelong learning – 21

Resourcefulness - 20

Satisfaction – 20

Self-determined - 20

Facilitate – 19

Higher education – 19

Affective – 18

Learning preference – 18

Agency – 17 (included personal agency)

Autonomous - 17

Coaching – 17

Self-access – 17

Collaborative – 16

Third age – 16

Learning jolt – 16

Coaches – 15

Constructivism – 15

Facilitator – 15

Self-access learning center – 15

Teacher collaboration – 15

Constructivist – 14

e-learning – 14

Informal communication – 14

Reflective – 14

Conative – 13

Connectivism – 13

Teacher education – 13

Implicit – 12

Desired - 11

Distance learning – 11

Intrinsic – 11

Situational-11

Agentic – 10

Autonomous learner – 10

Locus of control – 10

Self-regulatory – 10

Figure 2: Self-Directed Learning Terms Alphabetical (each followed by the number of times noted)

Terms Shown Alphabetical

[Note: All self-directed learning instruments are shown in Figure 3 and are not in Figure 2]

```
Adult learning – 34
Affective – 18
Agency - 17
Agentic - 10
Autonomous-17\\
Autonomous learner – 10
Autonomous learning – 117
Autonomy-195\\
CBE – 41 (Competency-based education)
Coach – 101
Coaches – 15
Coaching – 17
Cognitive – 56
Collaboration - 26
Collaborative - 16
Competency - 50
Conative – 13
Connectivism – 13
Constructivism - 15
Constructivist - 14
Desire – 33
Desired - 11
Digital - 24
Distance learning – 11
e-learning – 14
Engagement - 26
Environment – 119
Facilitate – 19
Facilitator - 15
Feedback - 21
Higher education – 19
Homeschool - 34
Implicit – 12
Independent – 45
Individual – 143
```

Informal communication – 14

Informal learning – 21

Initiative – 34

Internet - 22

Intrinsic - 11

Jolt - 22

Learner autonomy – 113

Learning environment – 51

Learning goals – 33

Learning jolt – 16

Learning preference – 18

Lifelong learning – 21

Locus of control – 10

Mentor/mentoring -33

Mobile devices – 39

Motivation – 97

Nontraditional-47

Online -358

Performance – 73

Persistence-25

Professional development – 80

Reflection – 35

Reflective – 14

Resourcefulness – 20

Responsibility – 34

Satisfaction – 20

SDL - 380

SDLL – 33 (Self-directed language learning)

Self-access - 17

Self-access learning center – 15

Self-determination -28

Self-determined – 20

Self-directed – 852

Self-directed learner(s) – 48

Self-directed learning – 682

Self-direction – 129

Self-efficacy – 138

Self-help-40

Self-regulated – 29

Self-regulation – 30

Self-regulatory - 10

Situational – 11

Tactic – 28

Tactical - 33

Teacher collaboration – 15

Teacher education – 13

Third age - 16

The various instruments used in SDL research are mentioned many times in the most recent literature studied except for two instruments. Figure 3 portrays this information with the number of times mentioned, the title of the instrument, and a bibliographic citations for anyone seeking additional information.

Figure 3: Self-Directed Learning Instruments (not included in Figures 1 and 2)

Instrument Labels Shown Alphabetical

- ALA 98 (Appraisal of Learner Autonomy) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- ILD 47 (Inventory of Learner Desire) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- ILI 31 (Inventory of Learner Initiative) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- ILP 31 (Inventory of Learner Persistence) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- ILR 31 (Inventory of Learner Resourcefulness) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- LAP 223 (Learner Autonomy Profile) (Ponton & Carr, 2016)
- OCLI 2 (Oddi Continuing Learning Inventory) (Oddi, 1984) (included because of its earlier popularity)
- OLRS 12 (Online learning readiness survey) (Dray, Lowenthal, Miszkiewicz, Primo, & Marczynski, 2011)
- SDLPS 2 (Self-Directed Learning Perception Scale) (included because of its earlier popularity) (Pilling-Cormick, 1996)
- SDLRS 125 (Self-Directed Learning Readiness Scale) (Guglielmino, 1977)

References

- Dray, B. J., Lowenthal, P. R., Miszkiewicz, M. J., Ruiz-Primo, M., & Marczynski, K. (2011). Developing an instrument to assess student readiness for online learning: A validation study. *Distance Education*, 32(1), 29-47.
- Guglielmino, L. M. (1977). Development of the self-directed learning readiness scale (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia, 1977). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *38*, 6467A.
- Hiemstra, R. (1997). What's in a word? Changes in self-directed learning language over a decade. Paper presented at the 1996 International Symposium on Self-Directed Learning, West Palm Beach, Florida. Retrieved April 17, 2004, from https://roghiemstra.com/word.html.
- Hiemstra, R. (2004). Self-directed learning lexicon. *International Journal of Self-Directed Learning*, *1*(2), 1-6.
- Oddi, L. F. (1984). Development of an instrument to measure self-directed continuing learning. (Doctoral dissertation, Northern Illinois University, 1984). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 46, 49A.
- Pilling-Cormick, J. (1996). *Development of the Self-Directed Learning Perception Scale*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Toronto.
- Ponton, M. K., & Carr, P. B. (2016). The possible role of higher education in developing learner autonomy: A quantitative exploration. *International Journal of Self-Directed Learning*, 13(1), 12-25.